

**Preliminary Analysis of the Impact of FCC's Proposed Regression Model on Texas ILEC's 2012 Federal USF
Attachment 1**

Study Area	2010 Study Area Cost Per Loop (SACPL)	Proposed Capped 2010 SACPL	% SACPL Reduction	Federal USF Loops	NACPL Assumption	2012 Annual Federal USF	2012 Annual Capped Federal USF	2012 Annual Federal USF Change	Annual % Federal USF Change
Company 1	\$1,478	\$936	-36.7%	6,562	\$ 506	4,296,482	1,629,029	(2,667,453)	-62.1%
Company 2	\$1,235	\$979	-20.7%	12,099	\$ 506	5,710,630	3,387,622	(2,323,008)	-40.7%
Company 3	\$777	\$687	-11.6%	909	\$ 506	117,011	62,182	(54,829)	-46.9%
Company 4	\$666	\$594	-10.8%	12,974	\$ 506	706,310	99,127	(607,183)	-86.0%
Company 5	\$989	\$883	-10.7%	24,639	\$ 506	7,086,655	5,127,855	(1,958,801)	-27.6%
GUADALUPE VALLEY TEL	\$786	\$708	-9.9%	37,936	\$ 506	5,122,287	3,098,664	(2,023,623)	-39.5%
Company 6	\$745	\$722	-3.1%	4,126	\$ 506	438,476	376,792	(61,684)	-14.1%